

DRS

1310041	1310042	1310043	1310044	1310045	1310046	1310047	1310048	1310049	1310050
1310041	1310042	1310043	1310044	1310045	1310046	1310047	1310048	1310049	1310050

[0004] German patent document DE 195 41 575 C2 discloses a method for determining a power setpoint value for a load-

dependent power-generating system (in particular for a fuel cell system) in an electric vehicle, in which setpoint values for the motor train currents of the electric drive motor are determined on the basis of the accelerator pedal position. The performance setpoint value for the power-generating system is generated from the setpoint values for the motor train currents of the electric drive motor.

[0005] One object of the present invention is to improve the dynamic behavior of the control methods described previously.

[0006] This and other objects and advantages are achieved by the control method according to the invention for operating a load dependent power-generating system that supplies electric energy to at least one electric drive motor in a vehicle. A performance setpoint value is determined for the electric drive motor from an accelerator pedal position, and a power request is made to the power-generating system before a torque request to the drive motor. Dynamic movement parameters of the accelerator pedal are also used to calculate the performance setpoint value.

[0007] The advantage of the invention is that the evaluation of the accelerator pedal movement/position permits a predictive calculation of the performance setpoint value. In this manner, the time delay between the requested performance and the running up or running down of the power-generating system can be bridged.

As a result of the earlier request of the predictively calculated, future performance, the power-generating system has more time to generate the drive current, and the electric drive motor can reach the level of performance desired by the driver more quickly and more dynamically. Taking into account the accelerator pedal movement leads to an improvement in the dynamics in comparison with methods which use only the accelerator pedal position.

[0008] Of course, the features which are mentioned above and which are to be explained below can be used not only in the respectively given combination but also in other combinations or alone without departing from the scope of the present invention.

[0009] Other objects, advantages and novel features of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description of the invention when considered in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0010] Fig. 1 is a block diagram which illustrates the method according to the invention; and

[0011] Fig.2 is a power graph which shows the dynamics of the power setpoint value generated by the method according to the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0012] The method according to the invention for operating a load-dependent power-generating system, illustrated in Fig. 1, is suitable in particular for use with performance requirements which vary greatly, such as is the case in vehicles. The power-generating system 1 (preferably a fuel cell system) supplies an electric drive motor 2 and further electric loads (not illustrated) in a vehicle with energy. In block 3, a predictive rotational speed n_{pred} and a predictive setpoint torque M_{pred} of the electric drive motor 2 are generated based on accelerator pedal movement (in particular the angular acceleration of the accelerator pedal, and the accelerator pedal position FP), rotational speed n_{Mot} of the electric drive motor 2 at a given time and the setpoint torque M_{setp} of the electric drive motor 2 at a given time. (FP designates the accelerator pedal position and the accelerator pedal movement.) In block 5 a performance setpoint value P for the electric drive motor 2 is determined by reference to a characteristic diagram from the predictive rotational speed n_{pred} and the predictive setpoint torque M_{pred} . In block 6, a predictive voltage U_{pred} of the power-generating system 1 is generated from the performance setpoint value P using a

further characteristic diagram. The predictive power setpoint value I_{setp} for the power-generating system 1 is then obtained by dividing the performance setpoint value P by the predictive voltage U_{pred} .

[0013] In order to utilize the maximum dynamics of the system, the power request to the power-generating system 1 is made before the performance or torque request to the electric drive motor 2. As a result, the running-up time of the power-generating system can be bridged. By a mathematical evaluation of the accelerator pedal movement and of the accelerator pedal position, the power request can be calculated predictively. Both the speed and the range of the accelerator pedal travel are taken into account in the evaluation. If, for example, the accelerator pedal is depressed quickly starting from a constant accelerator pedal position, a correspondingly high performance and a corresponding power are requested. However, if this takes place near to or at full-load operation, the requested additional performance is limited by the maximum available performance of the power-generating system and this is correspondingly taken into account.

[0014] As a result of the predictive calculation of the voltage U_{pred} of the power-generating system 1, the dynamics and the precision of the calculation of the power setpoint value I_{setp} are significantly improved. A further advantage is that the calculation of the predictive voltage U_{pred} replaces a measured

voltage of the power-generating system 1 being fed back with the inclusion of faults.

[0015] Taking into account the rotational speed n_{Mot} and the setpoint torque M_{setp} of the electric drive motor at a given time leads to a rapid calculation of the power request I_{setp} for the power-generating system, which ensures a continuous transition between the state at a given time and the future state of the electric drive motor corresponding to the driver's request. This results in steady driving characteristics of the vehicle.

[0016] In addition, in block 4 a power correction value ΔI is determined from the change in the torque setpoint value M_{setp} of the electric drive motor 2 and is summed with the power setpoint value I_{setp} for the power-generating system 1. The input value of block 4 is the torque setpoint value M_{setp} , and the output values are the power correction value ΔI and the torque setpoint value M_{setp} . The torque setpoint value M_{setp} constitutes an input variable of the electric drive motor 2. In block 4, the rise in the torque setpoint value M_{setp} is monitored, preferably by means of differentiation. Given a corresponding increase in the torque setpoint value M_{setp} , the power request to the power-generating system 1 is increased by adding a power correction value ΔI to the power setpoint value I_{setp} for the power-generating system.

[0017] The increase in the setpoint torque M_{setp} is monitored so that it is possible to react to instantaneous requests to the electric drive motor by components (not illustrated) which are present in the vehicle in addition to the accelerator pedal (for example cruise controller, creeper, ESP), with a corresponding increase in the power request or the setpoint power value I_{setp} for the power-generating system 1. Advantageously, the combined instantaneous request of the components is evaluated, rather than the instantaneous request of each individual component. This reduces the need for computing power.

[0018] In addition, the power consumption I_{Mot} of the electric drive motor 2 at a given time is determined and fed to a comparison point 10 of a control loop as a setpoint value. The power I_{act} which is made available by the power-generating system is also determined and is fed to the comparison point 10 of the control loop as an actual value. In the comparison point 10, the power drain I_{Mot} of the electric drive motor 2 at a given time is compared with the power I_{act} made available by the power-generating system 1. The comparison result is filtered in block 8 and then fed to a first controller 7, which is used to adjust the power setpoint value I_{setp} of the power-generating system 1 to the power drain I_{Mot} of the electric drive motor 2 at a given time.

[0019] The first controller 7 is preferably a P controller (proportionally acting controller) and block 8 is a smoothing filter, for example a delay element 1 of the first order.

[0020] In addition, the comparison result of the comparison point 10 is fed to a second controller 9 (preferably a proportional-integral or "PI" controller) which is used to reduce the torque setpoint value M_{setp} of the electric drive motor 2 when there is a positive comparison result. In a further preferred embodiment, the parameters of the second controller 9 are dependent on the rotational speed n_{Mot} of the electric drive motor at a given time.

[0021] The first and/or the second controller 7, 9 can advantageously be used to compensate the difference between the power consumption I_{Mot} of the electric drive motor at a given time and the power I_{act} made available by the power-generating system 1.

[0022] Fig. 2 is a power graph which shows the dynamic time variation of the power setpoint value which is generated with the method according to the invention. The continuous line represents the power setpoint value I_{setp} which is generated with the method according to the invention, while the dashed curve represents a power setpoint value which is generated with a second method which determines the torque setpoint value for the electric drive

motor from the accelerator pedal movement/position FP and generates the power setpoint value for the power-generating system from the torque setpoint value for the electric drive motor. The power setpoint value I_{setp} which is determined by the method according to the invention reaches its steady-state value significantly more quickly than the power setpoint value determined with the second method. That is to say the dynamics of the method according to the invention are better than the dynamics of the second method.

[0023] The foregoing disclosure has been set forth merely to illustrate the invention and is not intended to be limiting. Since modifications of the disclosed embodiments incorporating the spirit and substance of the invention may occur to persons skilled in the art, the invention should be construed to include everything within the scope of the appended claims and equivalents thereof.